

**REMARKS**

Applicants' representatives thank the Examiner for the courtesy of a telephone interview conducted on June 19, 2006. The instant response addresses substantive points discussed during the interview. Applicants also thank the Examiner for her comments regarding proposed amendments for clarification of claim 106. The present response is believed to constitute a complete written statement of the reasons presented in the interview as warranting favorable action, as required by 37 C.F.R. §1.133.

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration. Claims 106-109 were previously pending in this application. Claims 106 and 107 have been amended in a non-narrowing fashion. Claims 106-109 are pending for examination with claim 106 being an independent claim. No new matter has been added.

**Rejection of Claims 106-109 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph**

Claims 106-109 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention.

The Office Action states that claim 106 is vague and indefinite because E and F are not clearly defined. Applicant has amended claim 106 to clarify that E or F may be a part of aromatic groups A and C when E or F is not a bicyclic ring system, as described in claim 106.


Claim 107 has been amended to correct a typographical error.

Accordingly, withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

In view of the above amendment, applicant believes the pending application is in condition for allowance.

Dated: July 6, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Timothy J. Oyer, Ph.D.

Registration No.: 36,628

WOLF, GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C.

Federal Reserve Plaza

600 Atlantic Avenue

Boston, Massachusetts 02210-2206

(617) 646-8000